

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/695,175	10/28/2003	Kurt Hecht	ASI-PT061.1	4295	
3624 7590	03/01/2004	EXAMINER			
VOLPE AND KOENIG, P.C.			DOWLING, WILLIAM C		
UNITED PLAZA	-		ADTIBUT	DARED MINISTR	
30 SOUTH 17TH STREET			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103			2851	2851	

DATE MAILED: 03/01/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				(A			
		Applica	tion No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/695,	175	HECHT, KURT			
		Examin	er	Art Unit			
			C. Dowling	2851			
Th Period for Re	e MAILING DATE of this commun ply	ication appears on t	he cover sheet with th	e correspondence address			
THE MAIL - Extensions after SIX (6 - If the period - If NO period - Failure to re Any reply re	ENED STATUTORY PERIOD F ING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI of time may be available under the provisions MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm for reply specified above is less than thirty (3 I for reply is specified above, the maximum state ply within the set or extended period for reply ceived by the Office later than three months a nt term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ICATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no enunication. io) days, a reply within the statutory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the apply and the statute.	event, however, may a reply be atutory minimum of thirty (30) will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fo optication to become ABANDO	e timely filed  days will be considered timely.  rom the mailing date of this communication  DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	n.		
Status							
1)⊠ Res	ponsive to communication(s) file	ed on <u>28 October</u> 20	<u>03</u> .				
· ·	☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3) Sinc							
clos	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition o	f Claims			J			
4)⊠ Clai	m(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the ap	oplication.					
4a) (	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)∐ Clai	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	m(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected.	•					
·	m(s) is/are objected to.						
8)∐ Clai	m(s) are subject to restric	ction and/or election	requirement.				
Application F	apers						
9) The	specification is objected to by the	e Examiner.					
<b>10</b> )⊠ The	drawing(s) filed on <u>28 October 2</u>	<u>2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ ac	cepted or b) 🗌 objec	ted to by the Examiner.			
Appl	cant may not request that any object	ction to the drawing(s)	be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Repl	acement drawing sheet(s) including	the correction is requ	ired if the drawing(s) is	objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d	d).		
11) The	oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner. N	Note the attached Off	ice Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority unde	r 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)	owledgment is made of a claim b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority Certified copies of the priority Copies of the certified copies application from the Internatio	documents have be documents have be of the priority docum	en received. en received in Applic nents have been rece	cation No			
* See ti	ne attached detailed Office actio	•	,	ived.			
Attachment(s)			_				
	eferences Cited (PTO-892) raftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P	PTO 048)	4) Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Mai				
3) 🔲 Information	Paisperson's Patent Drawing Review (P Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or )/Mail Date			al Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/695,175 Page 2

Art Unit: 2851

## DETAILED ACTION

## Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 1-8 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-3, 6-9, 4 of U.S. Patent No. 6,637,893. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the consolidation of a sensor within the imaging device to provide detection and imaging by one device rather than two separate devices is deemed to be an obvious modification of the patented claims because it is well known to consolidate several optical devices within a single device housing,

Art Unit: 2851

3. Claim 9 is rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 1 of U.S. Patent No. 6,637,893 in view of Bamji et al.

The patented claim discloses the invention substantially as claimed but does not set forth the type of sensing means utilized.

Bamji et al. teach the use of three dimensional image sensing using quantum efficiency modulation to produce distance data.

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to use any of known sensing means such as that taught by Bamji et al. in order to provide e reliable sensing data.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

5. Claims 6, 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/695,175

Art Unit: 2851

Claims 6 and 8 have no antecedent for "the sensor" in newly submitted claim 1.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William C. Dowling whose telephone number is 571-272-2116. The examiner can normally be reached on MON-TUES, THURS-FRI.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Russ Adams can be reached on 571-272-2851. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

William C. Dowling Primary Examiner Art Unit 2851 Page 4